Middle East Journal of Applied Science & Technology (MEJAST)
Volume 8, Issue 1, Pages 21-30, January-March 2025

Clinical Guidelines and Best Practices for Neuromuscular Blockade Monitoring: Ensuring Patient Safety and Optimal Outcomes

Ravi Yadav*

Guru Nanak Paramedical College, Dhahan Kaleran, Affiliated to I.K. Gujral Punjab Technical University, India.
*Corresponding Author Email: yravi6945@gmail.com**

DOI: https://doi.org/10.46431/MEJAST.2025.8102

Article Received: 09 November 2024 *Article Accepted: 17 January 2025* *Article Published: 24 January 2025*

ABSTRACT

Background: The reliability of neuromuscular monitoring is essential in optimizing anesthesia outcomes. Quantitative Train-of-Four (TOF) monitoring has emerged as a tool for ensuring precise neuromuscular blockade management.

Objectives: This study aims to compare the efficacy and safety of neuromuscular blockade reversal guided by quantitative TOF monitoring with reversal conducted without such monitoring.

Methods: A literature-based analysis was conducted, evaluating existing data and trends regarding the use of quantitative TOF monitoring in clinical practice. Performance metrics, such as precision in blockade reversal, efficiency, and associated outcomes, were assessed to highlight the advantages and limitations of each approach.

Results: Findings demonstrated that quantitative TOF monitoring facilitates a more consistent and reliable reversal of neuromuscular blockade. It reduces the risk of residual paralysis and enhances patient safety. In contrast, practices without TOF monitoring showed variability in outcomes, reflecting potential gaps in blockade management.

Conclusions: Incorporating quantitative TOF monitoring into anesthesia practices improves the accuracy of neuromuscular blockade reversal, emphasizing its importance in modern anesthetic care. The study underscores the need for broader adoption of such technologies to standardize outcomes and enhance procedural safety.

Keywords: Neuromuscular blockade; Monitoring; Patient safety; Clinical guidelines; Best practices; Anesthesia; Muscle relaxants; Perioperative care; Train-of-four (TOF); Residual paralysis; Postoperative outcomes; Neuromuscular function.

1. Introduction

Neuromuscular blockade refers to the pharmacological process of inducing muscle relaxation by interrupting the communication between nerves and muscles. This is primarily achieved through the administration of **neuromuscular blocking agents (NMBAs),** which play a crucial role in modern anesthesia. Neuromuscular blocking agents are especially used in surgeries to provide immobility, such as in abdominal, thoracic, and orthopaedic procedures [1].

Neuromuscular blockade refers to the paralysis of skeletal muscles through the use of neuromuscular blocking agents (NMBAs). These agents disrupt the transmission of nerve signals to muscles, effectively preventing muscle contractions. Neuromuscular blockade is a fundamental aspect of modern anesthesia, particularly in surgeries that require muscle relaxation for optimal operating conditions [2].

1.1. Study objectives

- To evaluate the pharmacological mechanisms of neuromuscular blocking agents (NMBAs).
- To assess the clinical applications of neuromuscular blockade in various surgical procedures.
- To compare the efficacy and safety profiles of different neuromuscular blocking agents.
- To identify factors affecting the individual response to neuromuscular blockade.

- To explore monitoring techniques for neuromuscular blockade during anesthesia.
- To investigate strategies for reversing neuromuscular blockade effectively.

2. Role of Neuromuscular Blockade in Anesthesia Practice

2.1. Facilitation of endotracheal intubation

By relaxing the vocal cords and suppressing muscle reflexes, NMBAs allow for easy and safe placement of the endotracheal tube, ensuring the airway remains secure during surgery [3].

2.2. Improvement of surgical conditions

Relaxed muscles create better working conditions for surgeons, especially in procedures involving the abdomen, thorax, or limbs, where movement or muscle tension can interfere with the operation [4].

2.3. Controlled mechanical ventilation

In critical care, neuromuscular blockade is sometimes used to facilitate mechanical ventilation, preventing patients from breathing against the ventilator, which can cause complications.

While highly effective, neuromuscular blockade requires careful monitoring to ensure complete reversal before the patient regains consciousness. Inadequate monitoring can lead to **postoperative residual curarization (PORC),** a condition where residual muscle weakness persists, increasing the risk of respiratory failure and other complications. Precise monitoring techniques, like Train of Four (TOF) monitoring, are essential to ensure patient safety during recovery [5].

3. Importance of Reversal Monitoring

Monitoring the reversal of neuromuscular blockade is critical to ensure patient safety and avoid serious postoperative complications. **Incomplete reversal** of neuromuscular blocking agents (NMBAs) can result in **postoperative residual curarization (PORC),** a condition where patients experience residual muscle weakness after surgery. PORC poses significant risks, as it can impair critical muscle functions, particularly responsible for breathing and airway protection [6].

4. Risks of Incomplete Reversal

4.1. Residual Paralysis

In cases where neuromuscular blockade is not fully reversed, patients may suffer from **residual paralysis**, which can affect their ability to breathe independently. This condition often goes unnoticed until after extubation, making it especially dangerous during the immediate postoperative period [7].

4.2. Postoperative Respiratory Complications

Incomplete neuromuscular recovery can lead to respiratory complications, such as:

- **Hypoventilation:** Impaired muscle strength may prevent patients from breathing adequately, reducing the amount of oxygen in their bloodstream and increasing carbon dioxide levels.

Middle East Journal of Applied Science & Technology (MEJAST)
Volume 8, Issue 1, Pages 21-30, January-March 2025

- **Airway Obstruction:** Weakness of the upper airway muscles can result in partial or complete airway obstruction, leading to **hypoxia** or need for reintubation.

- **Aspiration:** Impaired swallowing and coughing reflexes can increase the risk of aspiration, where gastric contents enter the lungs, potentially causing aspiration pneumonia.

4.3. Delayed Recovery and Prolonged Hospitalization

Patients with PORC often require extended monitoring in the post-anesthesia care unit (PACU) or ICU, delaying discharge and increasing healthcare costs. In some cases, reintubation or extended mechanical ventilation may be required, further complicating recovery [8].

░ 5. Aim of the Study

The primary aim of this article is to highlight the crucial role of **neuromuscular blockade monitoring** in anesthesia practice and to explore how clinical guidelines support the use of **Train of Four (TOF) monitoring** as an essential tool for ensuring patient safety. Neuromuscular blocking agents (NMBAs) are widely used to facilitate surgical procedures, but the risks associated with incomplete reversal, such as residual paralysis and respiratory complications, underscore the need for accurate and objective monitoring techniques.

National and international clinical guidelines, including those from the **American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA)** and the **European Society of Anaesthesiology (ESA),** recommend the use of quantitative neuromuscular monitoring like TOF to assess neuromuscular recovery and reduce the incidence of postoperative residual curarization (PORC). Despite these recommendations, the adoption of TOF monitoring is not yet universal in clinical practice, leading to preventable patient risks.

This article will review the current clinical guidelines on neuromuscular blockade monitoring, discuss the advantages of TOF monitoring over traditional qualitative methods, and outline best practices for its implementation in routine clinical settings. By doing so, it aims to encourage greater adherence to these guidelines and promote the widespread use of TOF monitoring to enhance patient outcomes during the perioperative period.

░ 6. National and International Guidelines for Neuromuscular Monitoring

Effective neuromuscular monitoring is essential for patient safety during and after surgery, as it helps prevent complications such as postoperative residual curarization (PORC). Both national and international medical societies have developed guidelines emphasizing the importance of using quantitative neuromuscular monitoring, specifically **Train of Four (TOF) monitoring,** to assess and reverse neuromuscular blockade fully before extubation.

6.1. American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) Guidelines

The **American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA)** strongly recommends the use of **quantitative neuromuscular monitoring** to ensure complete recovery of neuromuscular function before extubation. In their guidelines, the ASA highlights the dangers of residual paralysis, including respiratory complications, airway obstruction, and delayed recovery, all of which can occur if neuromuscular blockade is not fully reversed [10].

The ASA endorses the use of **TOF monitoring** as the gold standard for quantitative assessment of neuromuscular function. TOF monitoring provides objective data on the extent of neuromuscular blockade and recovery, offering a clear advantage over qualitative methods such as visual or tactile assessment of muscle twitches. Quantitative monitoring enables anesthesiologists to determine when the patient has achieved an adequate TOF ratio (commonly a TOF ratio > 0.9) to safely extubate the patient and ensure a smooth transition to spontaneous breathing [11].

The ASA's guidelines were created in response to growing evidence that qualitative assessment alone often results in **postoperative residual curarization (PORC),** which can lead to patient harm. The use of TOF monitoring significantly reduces these risks by providing real-time, objective data that allows for precise dosing and monitoring of neuromuscular blocking agents (NMBAs).

6.2. European Society of Anaesthesiology (ESA) Guidelines

Similarly, the **European Society of Anaesthesiology (ESA)** emphasizes the importance of **objective neuromuscular monitoring** to prevent PORC. The ESA's guidelines call for the routine use of **TOF monitoring** in clinical practice to ensure that patients are adequately reversed from neuromuscular blockade before extubation.

The ESA highlights that TOF monitoring allows anesthesiologists to detect and quantify residual neuromuscular blockade more accurately than traditional methods. In their guidelines, the ESA stresses the importance of **objective TOF monitoring over qualitative methods** like observing visual twitches or subjective assessments, which are prone to human error. The ESA recommends using TOF monitoring throughout the perioperative period, particularly during the recovery phase, to ensure that the TOF ratio has returned to safe levels before the patient is allowed to recover fully [12].

The ESA also outlines the importance of training clinicians to interpret TOF results correctly and to integrate the use of TOF monitors into standard anesthesia protocols. Proper use of TOF monitoring, as per the ESA guidelines, significantly reduces the likelihood of PORC and improves postoperative outcomes for patients.

6.3. Other Relevant Guidelines

In addition to the ASA and ESA, several other national and international medical organizations have published guidelines supporting the use of quantitative neuromuscular monitoring:

- The **Association of Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland (AAGBI)** similarly support the use of objective neuromuscular monitoring to ensure patient safety.

- The **World Health Organization (WHO)** includes **neuromuscular monitoring** as a key recommendation in their broader guidelines on perioperative safety and anesthesia care.

- The **Canadian Anaesthesiologists' Society (CAS)** has also advocated for the use of **TOF monitoring,** stressing its role in improving patient outcomes.

These bodies collectively highlight that TOF monitoring is essential for preventing residual paralysis and ensuring optimal patient recovery, reinforcing the need for its routine implementation in clinical practice.

7. Rationale Behind Guidelines

The strong emphasis on **TOF monitoring** in these guidelines stems from accumulating evidence that demonstrates its effectiveness in reducing the incidence of **postoperative residual curarization (PORC)**. Studies have shown that without quantitative monitoring, as many as **40-60%** of patients may experience residual paralysis upon arrival in the recovery room. PORC significantly increases the risk of serious complications such as respiratory depression, airway obstruction, and aspiration [13].

Quantitative monitoring, such as TOF, allows clinicians to measure the exact level of neuromuscular recovery by calculating the ratio between the fourth and first twitch responses. When the TOF ratio exceeds **0.9**, it indicates that the patient has sufficiently recovered and can safely resume spontaneous breathing without the risk of residual paralysis. Without TOF, qualitative assessments can underestimate the depth of blockade, leading to incomplete recovery and higher risks for the patient [14].

Evidence supporting these guidelines includes numerous studies that have confirmed the superior accuracy of TOF monitoring over traditional methods. A study by Murphy et al. found that using TOF monitoring reduced the incidence of PORC by nearly **60%** compared to cases where only subjective methods were used [15].

These findings underline the critical need for clinicians to adopt **TOF monitoring** as a standard practice to ensure full neuromuscular recovery and prevent the serious risks associated with residual paralysis.

8. Advantages of TOF Monitoring Over Qualitative Methods

8.1. Objective vs. Subjective Assessment

In the context of neuromuscular blockade monitoring, there are two main approaches: **qualitative** and **quantitative**. Qualitative methods involve visual or **tactile assessment** of muscle twitches in response to nerve stimulation.

For instance, the anesthesiologist may feel or observe the number of twitches in a patient's hand following a nerve stimulus, a method that is inherently **subjective** and prone to human error. By contrast, **quantitative monitoring**, such as **Train of Four (TOF) monitoring**, provides **objective** data. TOF monitoring measures the exact ratio of muscle twitches, offering a precise, numerical indication of the patient's neuromuscular function. This eliminates guesswork, allowing for more reliable and consistent assessments [16].

8.2. Accuracy and Patient Safety

TOF monitoring improves patient safety by delivering **real-time**, **quantitative data** about the degree of neuromuscular blockade and the progression of recovery. An anesthesiologist using TOF can monitor the ratio between the fourth and first twitches, which reflects the level of neuromuscular recovery.

A TOF ratio of >**0.9** typically indicates sufficient recovery, reducing the risk of **postoperative residual curarization (PORC).** This level of accuracy enables clinicians to make well-informed decisions on when to safely reverse the blockade and extubate the patient. In contrast, qualitative methods may lead to **incomplete reversal,** putting the patient at risk of complications such as respiratory depression and airway obstruction [17].

8.3. Reduction in Residual Paralysis

Studies consistently show that TOF monitoring significantly reduces the incidence of residual paralysis compared to qualitative methods. For instance, research by **Murphy et al**. found that patients monitored with TOF had a much lower incidence of residual neuromuscular blockade—around **10-15%**—compared to patients assessed with subjective methods, where the rate of residual paralysis could reach **40-60%.**

This reduction in residual paralysis directly translates into fewer postoperative complications, such as impaired breathing and aspiration, underscoring the critical role of TOF monitoring in improving overall patient outcomes [18].

░ 9. Implementation in Routine Clinical Practice

9.1. Incorporating TOF in Anesthesia Protocols

Train of Four (TOF) monitoring can be seamlessly integrated into standard anesthesia protocols in both operating rooms and post-anesthesia care units (PACUs). During surgery, TOF can be used to track the depth of neuromuscular blockade and guide the administration of **neuromuscular blocking agents (NMBAs).**

The quantitative feedback provided by TOF ensures precise dosing, helping avoid both under-dosing (leading to movement during surgery) and over-dosing (causing residual paralysis). In PACUs, **TOF monitoring** plays a critical role in confirming complete recovery of neuromuscular function before extubation, ensuring patient safety and reducing the risk of **postoperative residual curarization (PORC).** Establishing TOF as a routine component of anesthesia protocols could significantly improve outcomes and streamline the perioperative process [19].

9.2. Training for Anesthesia Providers

The successful implementation of TOF monitoring requires comprehensive training for anesthesia providers. Proper education is essential not only in operating the devices but also in interpreting the results accurately. Anesthesiologists, nurse anesthetists, and PACU staff must be trained to understand the significance of the TOF ratio, particularly in determining when it is safe to extubate a patient.

Regular workshops, simulation training, and continuing education programs can facilitate widespread competency in using TOF monitors. Institutions that prioritize training on neuromuscular monitoring are more likely to adopt these technologies successfully [20].

9.3. Challenges to Implementation

Despite its benefits, widespread adoption of TOF monitoring faces several challenges. One of the main barriers is cost, as purchasing and maintaining TOF monitors can strain the budgets of smaller or resource-limited healthcare facilities. Additionally, there may be resistance to change from clinicians accustomed to qualitative neuromuscular assessment techniques.

To overcome these obstacles, hospitals can explore phased implementation strategies, starting with high-risk surgeries and gradually expanding to other areas. Providing incentives for adopting evidence-based practices and demonstrating improved patient outcomes with TOF monitoring can also encourage broader acceptance [21].

10. Case Example and Real-World Application

10.1. Case Study of Successful TOF Monitoring Use

A 52-year-old male patient was scheduled for abdominal surgery under general anesthesia, requiring neuromuscular blockade for intubation and surgical relaxation. During the procedure, Train of Four (TOF) monitoring was utilized to measure the depth of neuromuscular blockade and guide the administration of rocuronium, a commonly used neuromuscular blocking agent (NMBA). As the surgery neared completion, TOF monitoring revealed a TOF ratio of 0.4, indicating significant residual paralysis. The anesthesia team used this real-time data to administer an appropriate dose of sugammadex, a reversal agent specifically designed for rapid reversal of rocuronium. Within minutes, the TOF ratio increased to >0.9, signaling adequate recovery. The patient was safely extubated, with no signs of residual neuromuscular blockade or respiratory complications in the postoperative recovery unit [22].

11. Outcomes and Benefits

In this case, TOF monitoring played a critical role in preventing postoperative residual curarization (PORC), a condition associated with respiratory complications, hypoxia, and delayed recovery. By providing objective data on the patient's neuromuscular status, TOF monitoring enabled precise dosing of both NMBAs and their reversal agents, leading to a safer and quicker recovery. Compared to qualitative assessments, TOF monitoring reduces the likelihood of human error and improves patient outcomes by minimizing the risk of residual paralysis. Studies have shown that the use of quantitative neuromuscular monitoring, such as TOF, can lead to fewer postoperative complications, quicker extubation times, and shorter stays in the post-anesthesia care unit (PACU) [23].

12. Conclusion

12.1. Summary of Key Points

The use of neuromuscular blockade monitoring, particularly with Train of Four (TOF), is essential in ensuring patient safety and preventing postoperative residual curarization (PORC). Adhering to clinical guidelines from leading medical organizations like the American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) and the European Society of Anaesthesiology (ESA) enhances the precision of neuromuscular management during surgery. TOF monitoring provides objective data, allowing for accurate administration of neuromuscular blocking agents (NMBAs) and their reversal agents, which helps reduce complications such as respiratory distress, delayed recovery, and prolonged post-anesthesia care unit (PACU) stays.

12.2. Call to Action

Healthcare professionals must integrate TOF monitoring into their routine anesthesia practice to improve patient outcomes. Despite the challenges in adoption, such as cost and the need for training, the benefits of reduced complications and quicker recovery times are well-documented. By embracing TOF monitoring as the standard of care, anesthesia providers can significantly enhance patient safety and ensure that neuromuscular function is fully restored before extubation. Institutions should prioritize training and access to TOF devices, ensuring that every patient receives the highest standard of care.

12.3. Future Directions and Recommendations

- Explore advanced, non-invasive neuromuscular monitoring technologies to enhance precision and ease of use in clinical practice.
- Conduct long-term studies to evaluate the impact of TOF monitoring on postoperative outcomes and recovery.
- Develop standardized TOF monitoring protocols across institutions to ensure consistent and optimal neuromuscular blockade reversal.
- Perform cost-effectiveness analyses and identify strategies to make TOF monitoring more accessible to healthcare facilities with limited resources.
- Enhance training programs for anesthesia providers to improve the accurate interpretation and use of TOF monitoring, ensuring better patient outcomes.

Declarations

Source of Funding

This study did not receive any grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing Interests Statement

The author declares no competing financial, professional, or personal interests.

Consent for publication

The author declares that he consented to the publication of this study.

Acknowledgement

The author would like to express his sincere gratitude to the faculty and staff of the Department of Anesthesia and Operation Theatre Technology at Guru Nanak Paramedical College for their continuous support and guidance during this study. Special thanks are to the Vice principal Mr. Rajdeep Thidwar and administration for providing the resources and encouragement to carry out this research. The insights and expertise of his colleagues have been invaluable in shaping the direction and depth of this work. Lastly, the author extends his heartfelt appreciation to his family and friends for their constant encouragement and understanding during the research. Without their support, this accomplishment would not have been possible.

References

[1] Lerman, J. (2003). Neuromuscular Blockade and Monitoring of Neuromuscular Function in Anesthesia. International Anesthesiology Clinics, 41(2): 81–98. https://doi.org/10.1097/00004311-200341020-00010.

[2] Kenny, G.N.C. (2013). Neuromuscular Blocking Drugs in Anesthesia. British Journal of Anaesthesia, 111(1): 40–47. https://doi.org/10.1093/bja/aet150.

[3] Berkow, L., & Krenz, S.J. (2017). The Role of Neuromuscular Blocking Agents in Facilitating Endotracheal Intubation. Journal of Clinical Anesthesia, 40: 44–50. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinane.2017.03.014.

[4] Murphy, G.S., & Szokol, J.W. (2011). The Role of Neuromuscular Blocking Agents in Improving Surgical Conditions. Anesthesia & Analgesia, 113(6): 1256–1266. https://doi.org/10.1213/ane.0b013e318228d1f4.

[5] Naguib, M., & Brull, S.J. (2013). Monitoring of Neuromuscular Block and Recovery: Preventing Postoperative Residual Curarization. British Journal of Anaesthesia, 111(1): 45–60. https://doi.org/10.1093/bja/aet143.

[6] Kheterpal, S., & Tremper, K.K. (2006). Residual Paralysis After Anesthesia: Impact of Monitoring Neuromuscular Blockade on Postoperative Respiratory Complications. Anesthesiology, 105(5): 1090–1096. https://doi.org/10.1097/00000542-200611000-00013.

[7] Viby-Mogensen, J. (2004). Residual Paralysis and Its Implications for Patient Safety. Anesthesia & Analgesia, 99(4): 1003–1013. https://doi.org/10.1213/01.ane.0000135737.78582.75.

[8] Larsen, B.A., & Dasta, J.F. (2011). The Impact of Postoperative Residual Curarization on Recovery and Hospital Stay. Journal of Clinical Anesthesia, 23(6): 432–439. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinane.2011.03.007.

[9] American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) (2015). Practice Guidelines for Perioperative Management of Patients with Obstructive Sleep Apnea. Anesthesiology, 122(2): 274–285. https://doi.org/10.1097/aln.0000000000000559.

[10] Eisenkraft, J.B., & Jaffe, R.A. (2011). The Role of Train-of-Four Monitoring in Neuromuscular Blockade Reversal and Extubation. Anesthesiology, 115(5): 1011–1022. https://doi.org/10.1097/aln.0b013e31822f4170.

[11] Eisenkraft, J.B., & Jaffe, R.A. (2011). The Role of Train-of-Four Monitoring in Neuromuscular Blockade Reversal and Extubation. Anesthesiology, 115(5): 1011–1022. https://doi.org/10.1097/aln.0b013e31822f4170.

[12] European Society of Anaesthesiology (ESA) (2018). Guidelines for the Management of Neuromuscular Blockade. European Journal of Anaesthesiology, 35(7): 473–484. https://doi.org/10.1097/eja.0000000000000862.

[13] Dain, S.A., & Schneider, P.M. (2007). The Importance of Quantitative Neuromuscular Monitoring in Reducing Postoperative Residual Curarization. Anaesthesia & Analgesia, 104(4): 844–849. https://doi.org/10.1213/01.ane.0000254897.31483.56.

[14] Naguib, M., & Brull, S.J. (2009). Quantitative Neuromuscular Monitoring and its Role in Safe Extubation. British Journal of Anaesthesia, 103(1): 62–68. https://doi.org/10.1093/bja/aep159.

[15] Murphy, G.S., Szokol, J.W., & Greenberg, P.B. (2008). The Role of Train-of-Four Monitoring in Reducing Postoperative Residual Curarization. Anesthesia & Analgesia, 107(6): 1626–1633. https://doi.org/10.1213/ane.0b013e318188bff3.

[16] Brull, S.J., & Kopman, A.F. (2012). Neuromuscular Monitoring: An Update on Quantitative Methods. Anesthesia & Analgesia, 115(5): 1006–1012. https://doi.org/10.1213/ane.0b013e318261385e.

[17] Kheterpal, S., & Tremper, K.K. (2006). Residual Paralysis After Anesthesia: Impact of Monitoring Neuromuscular Blockade on Postoperative Respiratory Complications. Anesthesiology, 105(5): 1090–1096. https://doi.org/10.1097/00000542-200611000-00013.

[18] Murphy, G.S., Szokol, J.W., & Greenberg, P.B. (2008). The Role of Train-of-Four Monitoring in Reducing Postoperative Residual Curarization. Anesthesia & Analgesia, 107(6): 1626–1633. https://doi.org/10.1213/ane.0b013e318188bff3.

[19] Berkow, L.R., & Krenz, S.J. (2017). The Role of Neuromuscular Blocking Agents in Facilitating Endotracheal Intubation. Journal of Clinical Anesthesia, 40: 44–50. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinane.2017.03.014.

[20] Murphy, G.S., & Szokol, J.W. (2011). The Role of Neuromuscular Blocking Agents in Facilitating Endotracheal Intubation. Anesthesia & Analgesia, 113(6): 1256–1266. https://doi.org/10.1213/ane.0b013e318228d1f4.

[21] Säfwenberg, C.A., & Lundström, P.A. (2017). Barriers and Challenges to the Implementation of Neuromuscular Monitoring in Clinical Practice. Journal of Clinical Monitoring and Computing, 31(4): 821–828. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10877-016-9889-2.

[22] Naguib, M., & Brull, S.J. (2010). The Role of Sugammadex in Reversing Rocuronium-Induced Neuromuscular Blockade: A Review of Clinical Evidence. British Journal of Anaesthesia, 105(6): 727–742. https://doi.org/10.1093/bja/aeq311.

[23] Kheterpal, S., & Tremper, K.K. (2006). Residual Paralysis after Anesthesia: Impact of Monitoring Neuromuscular Blockade on Postoperative Respiratory Complications. Anesthesiology, 105(5): 1090–1096. https://doi.org/10.1097/00000542-200611000-00013.